

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) 3 :95-cv-00757-HDM
12 Plaintiff,)
13 vs.) ORDER
14 BOARD OF DIRECTORS, TRUCKEE-)
15 CARSON IRRIGATION DISTRICT,)
16 individually, and the TRUCKEE-)
17 CARSON IRRIGATION DISTRICT,)
Defendants.)
18 _____)
19 D. EDWIN BRUSH, TED J. deBRAGA,)
20 RICHARD HARRIMAN, ROGER MILLS,)
21 JIM PERAZZO, RAY PETERSON, and)
22 WILLIAM E. SHEPARD,)
Counterclaimants,)
vs.)
23 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, and)
GALE NORTON, Secretary of the)
Interior,)
Counterdefendants.)
24 _____)
25 PYRAMID LAKE PAIUTE TRIBE OF)
26 INDIANS,)
Intervenors.)
27 _____)
28

1 Before the court is defendant-intervenor Churchill County's
2 motion "for order authorizing representative of defendant-
3 intervenor Churchill County to participate in certification of
4 compliance for recoupment" (#782). The government and the Pyramid
5 Lake Paiute Tribe of Indians have opposed (#783, #789), and
6 Churchill County has replied (#795). Churchill County's request is
7 based on speculation that defendant Truckee-Carson Irrigation
8 District may someday be dissolved and that the county would become
9 liable for TCID's obligations. Churchill County has made no
10 allegations that such dissolution is imminent, much less being
11 actively contemplated. Accordingly, the court finds there is an
12 insufficient basis for Churchill County to be involved in the
13 certification of compliance process at this time. Churchill
14 County's motion (#782) is therefore denied without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: This 2nd day of April, 2012.

Howard D McKibben

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE